



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 09/942,736 | 08/31/2001 | Horst-Udo Hain | 1454.1067 | 8402 |
| 21171 | 7590 | 08/30/2006 | EXAMINER | |
| STAAS & HALSEY LLP SUITE 700 1201 NEW YORK AVENUE, N.W. WASHINGTON, DC 20005 | | | AZAD, ABUL K | |
| | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2626 | |

DATE MAILED: 08/30/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

09/942,736

Applicant(s)

HAIN, HORST-UDO

Examiner

ABUL K. AZAD

Art Unit

2626

All Participants:(1) ABUL K. AZAD.(2) MARK J. HENRY (Reg. No. 36,162).**Status of Application:** _____

(3) _____

(4) _____

Date of Interview: 9 August 2006**Time:** 1:36PM**Type of Interview:**

Telephonic



Video Conference

Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

Claims discussed:

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

*See Continuation Sheet***Part III.**

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.



(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The petition filed on March 31, 2006 has been treated as a request for reconsideration of the timeliness of the non-final Office Action mailed March 2, 2006. The examiner has considered applicant's position and has agreed to vacate the outstanding Office Action. A new non-final Office Action accompanies this communication, responsive to the telephonic interview to August 22, 2006.